

CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Consultant's Name:			Office:			
Unit:	io no Proseso John Une cauratione re	un neugo con Oscieta esteta	Project T	itle:		
Contract Period:			Date of Evaluation:			
Direction : Please write under colur from 1 to 5, defined as a 5 - Exceptional	follows:				each indicator u	sing a scale
4 – Superior 3 – Fully effective 2 – Needs improvement 1 – Unsatisfactory	Performance Performance Performance	Performance consistently exceeds expectations Performance exceeds several expectations Performance fully meets all expectations Performance does not fully meet expectations Performance fails to meet expectations				
Performan	ce Indicators*		CONTRACTOR	Rating (A)	Sub Weights (B)	Rating Points (A) x (B)
QUALITY OF WORK Adheres to the quality standards of the Otterms of reference. Written reports are understand.	Center. Completes well-organized, clea	all tasks speci ar and simple	ified in the		50%	
TIMELINESS OF DELIVERABLES Submits expected outputs/deliverables of accommodate comments, suggestions ar	on time or even well			elus eliter pagnurati preste	20%	
EXPERT KNOWLEDGE Demonstrates practical knowledge and a Aware of current trends or issues relative Center. Able to identify or anticipate productions to an existing or anticipated preach solution. Makes sound recommend gathered from reliable sources.	e to nature of work coblems. Suggests roblem and discusse	assignment a multiple and s es the pros and	t the pecific d cons of	Anna canana Sagara Augusta Anna canana	10%	
COMMUNICATION and INTERAC Expresses thoughts, opinions and ideas Cooperates and works well with Center understand the context or situation of the of others and considers such to be possil when and where needed and able to disa rational way. Gains the confidence and delivering expected outputs.	in a clear, precise an staff and officers. 'e e Center. Respects ble sources of soluting agree with others in	nd objective rather ideas and ions, Gives sua reasonable	nd effort to opinions apport and		20%	
	orazina motorial				ALL RATING Rating Points)	
Evaluated by:	one ment areas	Date	Endorsed	ebiziologiko Zunstivenos	r the brokeling of	Date
Name/Signature and Posis	tion	Date			and Position	Date
rappiored by.		Date	Action Recommended: Date		Date	

/ / Endorsed for future engagement / / Endorsed for extension of contract / / Other actions (pls. specify):

Center Director

^{*} Attach TOR or Contract indicating deliverables

CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Service evaluation is required for all consultants of the Center. Consultants are personnel who are engaged for a particular project or undertaking and whose term ends upon project completion or termination determined at the time of engagement. They may include project associates, project coordinators and project managers.

Expectations from a consultant's performance are established through major deliverables and performance standards contained in the TOR for his/her engagement. Performance indicators as specified in this form detail areas by which the consultant's deliverables and work values are being measured; while actual ratings measure the depth and extent by which each indicator is achieved within agreed specifications and quality standards.

Individual performance areas or deliverables are rated on a five-point scale as follows:

Rating	Definition				
5	Exceptional				
	Performance has consistently exceeded most expectations against performance indicators in the performance contract. This level indicates that the consultant had delivered consistently exceptional results against all indicators. Point weight ran 4.51-5:00				
4	Superior				
- APA	Performance exceeds several expectations against the performance indicators in the performance contract. This level indicates that the consultant has delivered superior results against most indicators. Point weight range: 4.00-4.50				
3	Fully Effective				
	Performance fully meets all expectations against the performance indicators in the performance contract. This level indicates that the consultant has delivered satisfactory or better results against all indicators. Point weight range: 3.00-3.99				
2	Needs Improvement				
	Performance does not fully meet all the expectations against the performance indicators in the performance contract. This level indicates that the consultant has delivered barely satisfactory results against most indicators. Point weight range: 2.00-2.99				
1	Unsatisfactory				
	Performance fails to meet the expectations to a significant degree in several areas against the performance indicators in the agreed performance contract. This level indicates that the consultant delivered unsatisfactory results on significant number of indicators. Point weight range: 1.00-1.99				

Ratings for the individual indicators identified may have 0.5 increments. The result of the service evaluation review shall be the basis for a consultant's extension of contract, re-engagement and/or increase of remuneration package, if desired. For extension of contract or re-engagement, an overall performance rating of 3.0 is required.